

Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 1 085 713 A2

(12) EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:  
21.03.2001 Bulletin 2001/12

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup>: H04L 25/06

(21) Application number: 00202749.8

(22) Date of filing: 02.08.2000

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU  
MC NL PT SE  
Designated Extension States:  
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventor: Barker, Andrew James  
Attenborough, Nottingham NG9 6BP (GB)

(74) Representative:  
Hoste, Colin Francis  
Marconi Intellectual Property  
Waterhouse Lane  
Chelmsford Essex CM1 2QX (GB)

(30) Priority: 18.09.1999 GB 9922016

(71) Applicant:  
MARCONI COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED  
Coventry, CV3 1HJ (GB)

(54) Adaptive setting of decision thresholds

(57) A receiver in a digital communications system, in which the digital data occupy a number of levels, equalises the erroneous-count rates for the various data levels by deriving the count rates for the levels, comparing these count rates with each other and using the comparison result to adjust the threshold level which is used to detect the received data train. The error-counts are preferably derived as a byproduct of a Forward Error

Correction system already available for performing normal error correction on the received data. The receiver arrangement is envisaged to find predominant application in a two-level system involving logical "1"s and "0"s, though it is applicable also to systems with three or more levels.




Figure 2

EP 1 085 713 A2

## Description

[0001] The invention relates to a communications receiver arrangement for the receipt of digital data, in particular, but not exclusively, digital data communicated over an optical-fibre medium.

[0002] Optical transmission systems require a conversion from electrical to optical signals at the transmit end and from optical to electrical signals at the receive end. The receive end is required to carry out a clock-recovery and data-detection process, the latter providing a discrimination between the logic levels involved, i.e. logic "1"s and "0"s in the two-level system normally employed. To achieve this it is necessary for the receiver to generate a threshold level in order to determine whether the input signal is at any one time a "1" or a "0". Due to the low levels involved at the receive end and the effects of noise and distortion, it is difficult to decide what the threshold level should be to produce reliable detection.

[0003] Figure 1 illustrates this problem and shows on the lefthand side the logic levels of the transmitted signal, in which logic "1" is at +5V and logic "0" is at slightly above 0V, i.e. a difference of almost 5V between the two levels. In both cases there will be a small amount of uncertainty in the levels due to noise. Due to non-ideal transmission conditions down an optical link, by the time the signal reaches a receiver it might look more like the situation on the righthand side of the drawing, where the difference between the two logic levels is very much less than the original difference at transmission. The difference due to distortion could be as little as 200mV. There is also a pronounced lack of symmetry in the distortion effect, which is common in these communications systems. If now the receiver has a fixed threshold level of around 2.5V or even higher, due to the large amount of noise present on the received signal and the much reduced difference in logic voltage levels, it is likely that some "1"s will drop below this threshold and be read as "0"s. A fewer number of "0"s will also be misread as "1"s. The result will be errors in data detection, but more importantly there will be inequalities in these errors; in the example given, there will be more false "0" readings than false "1" readings. It is considered desirable to have a system in which the number of errors for the two levels is approximately equal.

[0004] In accordance with a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a communications receiver arrangement for the receipt of digital data, comprising: means for receiving digital data encoded in accordance with multiple encoding levels; means for establishing one or more thresholds for detecting the multiple encoding levels, and means for determining the error rate associated with each individual encoding level, the threshold-establishing means comprising a threshold-optimisation means for optimising the one or more thresholds on the basis of the determined error rates.

[0005] The threshold-optimisation means may com-

prise means for comparing the various error rates and means for changing the one or more thresholds on the basis of the comparison result. The comparing means may form a ratio between the various error rates, in which case the threshold-changing means will vary the one or more thresholds until respective predetermined ratios are established between the error rates; alternatively, the comparing means may form a difference between the various error rates, in which case the threshold-changing means will vary the one or more thresholds until respective predetermined differences are established between the error rates. The predetermined ratios may be approximately unity and the differences approximately zero.

[0006] The number of encoding levels may be two.

[0007] There may be a single threshold discriminating between the two levels. A hysteresis is preferably provided in the threshold in order to provide stability of control.

[0008] Preferably the error rates are provided as a by-product of an error-correction system, e.g. a Forward Error Correction system.

[0009] In a second aspect of the invention, a method for equalising the spurious-count rates for each of multiple data-encoding levels in a communications receiver comprises: receiving data encoded in accordance with the multiple encoding levels, determining the spurious-count rates, and optimising, on the basis of the determined spurious-count rates, one or more thresholds for detecting the multiple encoding levels.

[0010] Embodiments of the invention will now be described, by way of example only, with the aid of the accompanying drawings, of which:

Figure 1 is a diagram illustrating the effect of noise and distortion on a fibre-transmitted digital signal; Figure 2 is a block diagram of a communications receiver arrangement in accordance with the present invention for reducing error imbalance; Figure 3 shows a known Forward Error Correction system which is used in an embodiment of the invention for reducing error imbalance; Figure 4 illustrates a first embodiment of the invention using the Forward Error Correction system; Figure 5 illustrates a second embodiment of the invention using the Forward Error Correction system; Figure 6 is a diagram showing in conceptual form a three-level digital communications arrangement; Figure 7 is a block diagram of an embodiment of the invention as applied to the three-level arrangement of Figure 6, and Figure 8 is a table of specimen error-count figures to assist in an explanation of the mode of operation of the embodiment of Figure 7.

[0011] Referring now to Figure 2, the principle of the invention in the case of a 2-level communications

system will be explained. Figure 2 includes in block-diagram form the principle components of a receiver for receiving transmitted signals containing data encoded under a two-level encoding system. The received input signal is fed to a level detection stage 20 which compares the input signal with a threshold level on an input 21. Input levels above the threshold level are detected as logic-"1"s and those below are detected as logic-"0"s. The data are taken to further processing circuitry on an output 22. Providing the threshold level is a threshold-level generator 23.

**[0012]** The present invention adds to these basic components an error-count generator 24, a comparison stage 25 and a subtraction stage 26. The error-count generator 24 monitors the data output and provides a separate count on two outputs 27, 28 of the number of spurious "0"s and the number of spurious "1"s (i.e. the number of erroneously read "0"s and "1"s, respectively). In operation, the error counts are compared in the comparison stage 25 and the result of that comparison is subtracted from a desired comparison result on the input of the subtractor 26. Subtractor 26 thus outputs an error signal  $\epsilon$  which is used to alter the threshold level on an input 29 of the threshold generator 23. The system is set up so that the threshold level on the input 21 of the level-detection stage 20 is varied in a direction such as to reduce the error signal  $\epsilon$  towards zero. Thus there results a substantially equal error count on the outputs 27, 28 of the error-count generator 24.

**[0013]** To minimise the steady-state value of  $\epsilon$ , the transfer function of the threshold-generator stage 23 will be arranged to have a sufficiently high gain, bearing in mind the exigencies of stability.

**[0014]** This schematic arrangement is advantageously realised in digital form, since the error counts will automatically be digital, rather than analogue. Thus the comparison stage 25 can be digital, as can also be the subtraction stage 26 and the threshold generator 23. Of course, some parts of the circuit may alternatively be analogue, e.g. the subtractor 26 and threshold generator 23, as may also be the threshold level itself on input 21. In that case the output of the comparison stage 25 will be fed to a digital-to-analogue converter before being taken to the subtractor 26. The desired comparison result on the other input of the subtractor 26 will likewise be an analogue level.

**[0015]** A practical digital realisation of the arrangement of Figure 2 employs a known error-correction system to provide the error counts. Increasing bit rates on optical transmission systems result in either increased error rates over a given distance, or correspondingly shorter reaches for the same error rates, as noise and distortion effects become more pronounced. In order to address this problem, an error-correction system called Forward Error Correction (FEC) has been devised. The basic FEC system is illustrated in Figure 3. First a signal containing digital data is produced in the block 50. Next, each word of the signal has added to it information in

the form of either a wrapper, which brackets the word at its two ends, or a filler, which is inserted in spare locations within the existing data (block 51). This additional information uses established error-correction methods (e.g. Hamming codes, Reed Solomon codes) to send information relating to the signal. The data-loaded signal containing the additional FEC information is transmitted over a transmission network 52 and picked up by a receiver 53. The received signal is data-detected and is also taken to a receive FEC stage 54 which extracts the additional information and uses it to detect and also correct for errors in the received digital data stream. The result is a noticeable improvement in the perceived error performance of the transmission medium (in this case an optical fibre).

**[0016]** Very importantly, however, the FEC arrangement in the receiver automatically provides an indication of the number of spurious "0"s and "1"s that have occurred in the detection process over a given period of time. These counts are then used as inputs to the comparison stage 25 in Figure 2.

**[0017]** Figure 4 shows an embodiment of the invention involving the use of an FEC scheme as just described. The receiver comprises an optical-to-electrical converter stage 40 which converts the incoming optical-fibre light signal into an electrical signal, this signal being then level-detected in a level detector 20. A threshold generator 23 provides the digital threshold value for the level detector 20. The level detector 20 feeds an FEC stage 41 whose error counts feed two inputs A, B of a comparison stage in the form of a subtractor 42. A third input C is supplied by a reference value. The subtractor output is used to control the input of the variable threshold generator 23, the output of which supplies the threshold value for the level detector 20. The subtractor 42 forms the difference of the three quantities on its inputs, i.e.  $A-B-C$ . Input C, the reference value, represents the desired difference between the two error counts, which will normally be zero, though for any particular system the desired difference may be non-zero.

**[0018]** An alternative way of realising this arrangement is shown in Figure 5. In this figure, instead of using a subtractor as the comparison stage, a divider is used which forms the ratio of the two error counts, i.e. a ratio  $A/B$ . The divider is connected to a subtractor 43 which subtracts the desired ratio (nominally 1) from the quantity  $A/B$  and feeds the resulting error signal  $\epsilon$  to the threshold generator.

**[0019]** In a practical two-level system, the single threshold may be provided with a measure of hysteresis in order to enhance the stability of the detection process. The hysteresis will be fixed, i.e. there will be a fixed difference between the high and low threshold levels, and these voltage levels will move up or down in tandem as the mean threshold level is adjusted to equalise the error bit rates.

**[0020]** The invention is not only applicable to two-

level data transmission systems, but can be employed where any number of data levels are used. Figure 6 shows a three-level system in which data may assume any of three discrete levels between two voltage rails: a "0" level between ground and a first threshold, TH.1, a "1" level between the first threshold and a second threshold, TH.2, and a third level between the second threshold and a second supply rail (+V).

[0021] An arrangement for dealing with such a three-level system is shown in Figure 7. Figure 7, which is a three-level version of the two-level Figure 2 arrangement, contains two control loops, one for each of the two thresholds concerned. Each loop comprises a comparison stage (subtractor or divider) and a variable threshold generator. The comparison stage for the first loop receives error-count values for the "0" and "1" levels and that for the second loop receives error-count values for the "1" and "2" levels.

[0022] The action of this three-level scheme can be explained with reference to Figure 8. In Figure 8 it is assumed that, initially, no error-equalisation system is in place, so that the error counts of the three levels are different in the example shown (the count values are unrealistic and chosen purely for explanatory purposes), the "1" level has most errors with 20 counts, the "0" level has 12 counts and the "2" level has the least number of errors at 7 counts. The two control loops take the difference of the "2" and "1" counts, on the one hand, and of the "1" and "0" counts, on the other. This yields an input to the respective threshold generators 60, 61 of -13 and +8 counts. Generator 60 is thus driven hard in one direction, such as to lower threshold 2 considerably, while generator 61 is driven more softly in the other direction, such as to raise threshold 1 somewhat less. As can be seen by inspection, lowering threshold 2 significantly will have the effect of bringing quite a lot of data, which fell into the "1" category, up into the "2" category, while raising threshold 1 not so much will have the effect of bringing just a few data, which fell into the "1" category, down into the "0" category. The eventual result toward which the system will converge is that each level will end up with the average of the three count values, i.e. 13 counts each. In practice, convergence will occur over several sampling periods.

[0023] As a practical consideration, since many transmission systems take a finite period to settle after any adjustment, it will usually be necessary to integrate the subtraction/ratio values over a suitable length of time to ensure convergence.

[0024] As already stated, implementation of the invention can be in a mixture of digital and analogue technologies, or indeed in a mixture of hardware and software, as appropriate. Thus, comparison (subtraction/division) and threshold adjustment could be implemented in hardware, with the counts being stored within the hardware device and the values being compared within the hardware in order to generate the required threshold correction. Alternatively, the counts could be

collected by software and the comparison process carried out in software, the software then correcting the thresholds. A software solution is acceptable despite potentially slower response times, since most distortions relevant to the invention on transmission systems are long-term phenomena and are not prone to rapid fluctuations.

#### Claims

1. A communications receiver arrangement for the receipt of digital data, comprising: means for receiving digital data encoded in accordance with multiple encoding levels; means for establishing one or more thresholds for detecting the multiple encoding levels, and means for determining the error rate associated with each individual encoding level, the threshold-establishing means comprising a threshold-optimisation means for optimising the one or more thresholds on the basis of the determined error rates.
2. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 1, wherein the threshold-optimisation means comprises means for comparing the various error rates and means for changing the one or more thresholds on the basis of the comparison result.
3. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 2, wherein, in use, the comparing means forms a ratio between the various error rates and the threshold-changing means varies the one or more thresholds until respective predetermined ratios are established between the error rates.
4. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 3, wherein the predetermined ratios are all approximately unity.
5. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 2, wherein, in use, the comparing means forms a difference between the various error rates and the threshold-changing means varies the one or more thresholds until respective predetermined differences are established between the error rates.
6. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 5, wherein the predetermined differences are all approximately zero.
7. Arrangement as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the number of encoding levels is two.
8. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 7, wherein there is a single threshold discriminating between the two levels.
9. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 8, wherein a hys-

teresis is provided in said threshold in order to provide stability of control.

10. Arrangement as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the error rates are provided as a by-product of an error-correction system. 5
11. Arrangement as claimed in Claim 10, wherein the error-correction system is a Forward Error Correction system. 10
12. Communications receiver arrangement for the receipt of digital data, substantially as shown in, or as hereinbefore described with reference to, Figures 3, 5, 6 or 8 of the drawings. 15
13. Method for equalising the spurious-count rates for each of multiple data-encoding levels in a communications receiver, comprising: receiving data encoded in accordance with the multiple encoding levels, determining the spurious-count rates, and optimising, on the basis of the determined spurious-count rates, one or more thresholds for detecting the multiple encoding levels. 20  
25
14. Method as claimed in Claim 13, wherein the optimisation of the one or more thresholds comprises the formation of a ratio of the various count rates and varying the one or more thresholds until respective predetermined ratios are established between the count rates. 30
15. Method as claimed in Claim 14, wherein the predetermined ratios are all approximately unity. 35
16. Method as claimed in Claim 13, wherein the optimisation of the one or more thresholds comprises the formation of a difference between the various count rates and varying the one or more thresholds until respective predetermined differences are established between the count rates. 40
17. Method as claimed in Claim 16, wherein the predetermined differences are all approximately zero. 45
18. Method as claimed in any one of Claims 13 to 17, wherein the spurious-count rates are determined as a byproduct of an error-correction process.
19. Method as claimed in Claim 18, wherein the error-correction process is a Forward Error Correction process. 50
20. Method for equalising the spurious-count rates for each of multiple data-encoding levels in a communications receiver, substantially as hereinbefore described. 55




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6

LEVELS			
	0	1	2
COUNTS	12	20	7
$\Delta$ 's	+8		-13

Figure 8




Figure 7





Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 1 085 713 A3

(12) EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(88) Date of publication A3:  
21.01.2004 Bulletin 2004/04

(51) Int Cl.7: H04L 25/06

(43) Date of publication A2:  
21.03.2001 Bulletin 2001/12

(21) Application number: 00202749.8

(22) Date of filing: 02.08.2000

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU  
MC NL PT SE  
Designated Extension States:  
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventor: Barker, Andrew James  
Attenborough, Nottingham NG9 6BP (GB)

(74) Representative: Hoste, Colin Francis  
Marconi Intellectual Property  
Marrable House  
The Vineyards  
Gt. Baddow  
Chelmsford Essex CM2 7QS (GB)

(30) Priority: 18.09.1999 GB 9922016

(71) Applicant: Marconi UK Intellectual Property Ltd  
Coventry, CV3 1HJ (GB)

(54) Adaptive setting of decision thresholds

(57) A receiver for digital signals equalises the counted number of errors for each of the levels the signals may assume, by adjusting decision thresholds. The numbers of errors for each level are preferably derived

from estimates which are used for error correction decoding. While it is envisaged that such an arrangement will be used mainly for the reception of binary signals, it is also applicable to the reception of signals with more than two levels.




Figure 2

EP 1 085 713 A3



European Patent  
Office

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 00 20 2749

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
X	US 4 823 360 A (NICHOLSON DAVID J ET AL) 18 April 1989 (1989-04-18)	1-8, 12-17, 20 9	H04L25/06
Y	* abstract * * column 1, line 1 - line 35 * * column 1, line 60 - column 2, line 10 * * column 2, line 65 - column 3, line 60 * * column 5, line 10 - line 25 *		
X	US 5 896 391 A (SOLHEIM ALAN GLEN ET AL) 20 April 1999 (1999-04-20)	1,2,5-8, 10-13, 16-20 9 3,14	
Y	* abstract *		
A	* column 1, line 5 - line 10 * * column 1, line 45 - line 65 * * column 2, line 10 - line 30 * * column 2, line 65 - column 3, line 10 * * column 3, line 25 - line 55 * * column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 15 * * column 6, line 15 - line 30 *		
X	WO 98 49801 A (BURGMEIER JUERGEN ;SIEMENS AG (DE); STUMMER BALDUR (DE)) 5 November 1998 (1998-11-05)	1,2, 10-13, 18-20 3,5,14, 16	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7) H04L
A	* abstract *  * page 2, line 5 - line 15 * * page 3, line 25 - page 4, line 10 * * page 5, line 30 - line 35 *		
-/--			
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search <b>THE HAGUE</b>		Date of completion of the search <b>18 November 2003</b>	Examiner <b>Litton, R</b>
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X: particularly relevant if taken alone Y: particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A: technological background O: non-written disclosure P: intermediate document		T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D: document cited in the application L: document cited for other reasons S: member of the same patent family, corresponding document	



European Patent  
Office

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 00 20 2749

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
X	KAWAI M ET AL: "SMART OPTICAL RECEIVER WITH AUTOMATIC DECISION THRESHOLD SETTING AND RETIMING PHASE ALIGNMENT" PROCEEDINGS OF THE EUROPEAN CONFERENCE ON OPTICAL COMMUNICATION (ECOC) GOTHENBURG, SEPT. 10 - 14, 1989, GOTHENBURG, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY, S. vol. 1 CONF. 15, 10 September 1989 (1989-09-10), pages 320-323, XP000437795	1,12,13, 20	
A	* page 320, paragraph 6 - page 321, paragraph 3 *	2	
Y	US 4 490 804 A (MARTINSONS ROBERT) 25 December 1984 (1984-12-25) * abstract * * column 1, line 65 - column 2, line 15 *	9	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search <b>THE HAGUE</b>		Date of completion of the search <b>18 November 2003</b>	Examiner <b>Litton, R</b>
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document			

EPO FORM 1503 (3.02 (04/00))

EP 00 20 2749

18-11-2003

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4823360 A	18-04-1989	CA 1279914 C	05-02-1991
		CN 1038731 A ,B	10-01-1990
		DE 68916053 D1	21-07-1994
		DE 68916053 T2	22-09-1994
		EP 0328266 A2	16-08-1989
		JP 1874239 C	26-09-1994
		JP 2007745 A	11-01-1990
		JP 5086096 B	09-12-1993
		KR 9206280 B1	03-08-1992
US 5896391 A	20-04-1999	CA 2221544 A1	19-06-1998
WO 9849801 A	05-11-1998	DE 19717642 A1	05-11-1998
		BR 9808995 A	08-08-2000
		CN 1253684 T	17-05-2000
		WO 9849801 A1	05-11-1998
		EP 0978176 A1	09-02-2000
		US 6577696 B1	10-06-2003
US 4490804 A	25-12-1984	DE 3370703 D1	07-05-1987
		EP 0107720 A1	09-05-1984
		ES 8404526 A1	16-07-1984
		IT 1167131 B	13-05-1987
		WO 8303913 A1	10-11-1983

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82